EXHIBIT 15 FILED UNDER SEAL

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 589-11 Filed 06/12/17 Page 2 of 6 WAYMO & UBER CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
4	
5	WAYMO LLC,
6	Plaintiff,
7	vs. No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA
8	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
9	OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING,
10	INC.,
11	Defendants.
12	/
13	
14	WAYMO & UBER CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
15	
16	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF GREGORY KINTZ
17	SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
18	WEDNESDAY, APRIL 26, 2017
19	
20	
21	BY: ANDREA M. IGNACIO, CSR, RPR, CRR, CCRR, CLR ~
22	CSR LICENSE NO. 9830
23	JOB NO. 2592507
24	
25	PAGES 1 - 234
	Page 1

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 589-11 Filed 06/12/17 Page 3 of 6 WAYMO & UBER CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1			
1	_		
			15:11
3		Do you see that?	15:11
4	А	I do see that.	15:11
5	Q	So would you agree that Schultz discloses	15:11
6	that		
		?	15:11
8		MR. JAFFE: Objection; form.	15:11
9		THE WITNESS: The device in question here in	15:11
10	this Ph	a.D. thesis from a student in Germany refers to	5 15:11
11	a high-	powered laser diode bar with multiple emitters	5 15:11
12	where t	he bar is bonded to a heat sink. There is	15:11
13	another	contact bar on top of the device.	15:11
14		And this has little or no relationship to a	15:11
15			15:12
16		MR. KIM: So that wasn't responsive to my	15:12
17	questic	on. Let me ask you a different one.	15:12
18	Q	On page 63 can you turn to page 63.	15:12
19	A	(Witness complies.)	15:12
20	Q	Do you see Figure 4-10?	15:12
21	A	I do.	15:12
22	Q	Where it's labeled:	15:12
23			
			15:12
25		Do you see that?	15:12
			Page 127

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 589-11 Filed 06/12/17 Page 4 of 6 WAYMO & UBER CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	A Yes.	15:12
2	Q And above that label,	
		15:13
5	Do you see that?	15:13
6	A Yes.	15:13
7	Q Okay. This depicts	
	correct?	15:13
9	A Again,	
		15:13
12		
		15:13
15	Q That's not my question.	15:13
16	My question is: That figure depicts	
	; correct?	15:13
1.0		
19	MR. JAFFE: Objection; form.	15:13
20	THE WITNESS: As you see in this figure,	15:13
21	there is	15:13
22	But the greater body of knowledge of the	15:13
23	packaging of even the specific type of high-powered	15:13
24	laser diode bars as referenced in the Liu textbook	15:14
25	indicates that the ideal configuration for these	15:14
		Page 128

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 589-11 Filed 06/12/17 Page 5 of 6 WAYMO & UBER CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	So I don't think there is a clear answer to	16:13
2	your question.	16:13
3	MR. KIM: Q. It wouldn't be a simple	16:13
4	modification to just go from these two separate	16:13
5	cavities and to put all 64 boards and diodes into a	16:13
6	single cavity?	16:13
7	MR. JAFFE: Objection; form.	16:14
8	THE WITNESS: Again, I don't with all of	16:14
9	the complexity of engineering, I don't think you can	16:14
10	make a broad brush statement that says, Oh, this would	16:14
11	just be a simple thing to do.	16:14
12	MR. KIM: Q. So GBr3 has	
	correct?	16:15
14	A Yes, that's correct.	16:15
15	Q And it has a single optical cavity?	16:15
16	A Yes, that's correct.	16:15
17	Q And the for GBr3,	16:15
18	that's ?	16:15
19	A That sounds correct. But I would prefer to	16:15
20	look at a detailed specification to confirm that	16:15
21	number.	16:16
22	Q All right.	16:16
23	I think you can go to the list of Trade	16:16
24	Secrets and turn to let's see page 25.	16:16
25	A Okay. Nope, I don't need that anymore.	16:16
	Pa	ge 160

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 589-11 Filed 06/12/17 Page 6 of 6 WAYMO & UBER CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Sorry.	18:39
2	Well, I believe my original opinions were	18:39
3	reasonable based on the information then available.	18:40
4	The newly provided information requires me to withdraw	18:40
5	my opinion that the Fuji system infringes the	18:40
6	'922 patent and the '464 patents.	18:40
7	Q So your current opinion is that Fuji does not	18:40
8	infringe the '922 and the '464 patents; correct?	18:40
9	A That is correct.	18:40
10	Q And in your declaration, you said that you	18:40
11	reviewed all of the asserted patents in this case;	18:40
12	correct?	18:40
13	A In the original declaration?	18:40
14	Q Yes.	18:40
15	A Yes.	18:40
16	Q And so aside from the '944 and '922 patents,	18:40
17	is it your opinion that Fuji does not infringe any of	18:40
18	those asserted patents?	18:40
19	MR. BAYER: You said '944?	18:40
20	MR. KIM: Q. Aside from the '922 and the	18:41
21	'464, is it your opinion that Fuji does not infringe	18:41
22	any of the other asserted patents in this case?	18:41
23	MR. JAFFE: Objection; form.	18:41
24	THE WITNESS: Can I ask what other asserted	18:41
25	patents you're referencing?	18:41
	Pag	ge 221